

### III. REMARKS

1. Claims 1-4, 6, and 9-30 remain in the application. Claims 5, 7, and 8 have been cancelled without prejudice.

2. Applicant respectfully maintains that claims 1, 2, 6, 9-13, 16-18, 20, and 22-30 are patentable over the combination of Komiyama (US 6,690,955), Tsukamoto (US 6,785,563), and Yamashita (US 6,070,053) under 35 USC 103(a).

The combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, and Yamashita fails to disclose or suggest all the features of independent claims 1 and 13.

In particular, none of the cited references discloses transferring both: 1) data relating to auditory or visual sense; and, 2) data relating to visual or tactile sense from the callers mobile station to the receiver's mobile station as a ringing command in order to determine the ringing effect (such as sound or graphical or tactile presentation) in the receiver's mobile station, as claimed in the independent claims.

These features thus allow a caller to further enrich and personalize the calling/ringing event happening in the receiver's end. It should also be noted that the second effect comprises at least a lighting effect or a vibration effect that is transferred/activated by the connection already established and already used for transferring the first effect that is either auditory or visual. Further, the second effect may comprise a graphical effect such as an animation or an image.

At least for these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Komiyama and Tsukamoto fails to render independent claims 1 and 13 and dependent claims 2, 6, 9-12, 16-18, 20, and 22-30 unpatentable.

3. Claims 3, 4, 19, and 21 are patentable over the combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, Yamashita and Terada (US 6,429,366) under 35 USC 103(a).

Claims 3, 4, 19, and 21 depend from claims 1 or 13.

Terada fails to disclose or suggest the features of claims 1 and 13 missing from the combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, and Yamashita as argued above. Therefore, the combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, Yamashita and Terada fails to render claims 3, 4, 19, and 21 unpatentable.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are patentable over the combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, Yamashita, and Uriya (US 6,429,366) under 35 USC 103(a).


Claims 14 and 15 depend from claim 13.

Uriya fails to disclose or suggest the features of claim 13 missing from the combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, and Yamashita as argued above. Therefore, the combination of Komiyama, Tsukamoto, Yamashita, and Uriya fails to render claims 14 and 15 unpatentable.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Joseph V. Gamberdell, Jr.  
Reg. No. 44,695

3 June 2008  
Date

Perman & Green, LLP  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, CT 06824  
(203) 259-1800  
Customer No.: 2512